

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,146	01/04/2002	Barrett M. Faneuf	042390.P13123	9303
. 7	590 10/24/2003		EXAM	INER

Stephen M. De Klerk BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

DUONG, HUNG V

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2835

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			73
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
al a Project	10/039,146	FANEUF ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hung v Duong	2835	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence addre	SS
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon			nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-12 and 16-18</u> is/are pending ir	n the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-12, 16-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		·	
	arriiri ç i.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	nainaitu undan SELLO O C 440(a)	(4) 07 (5)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 O.S.C. § 119(a))-(a) or (i).	
· ·	have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		an Na	
	•••		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ge
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			·
Attachment(s)	, 1, 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6/0	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)atent Application (PTO-15	

Application/Control Number: 10/039,146

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-8, and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The recitations "chassis-level fluid" and "frame-level fluid" were not described in the specification.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8, 10-12 recites the limitation "the thermal fluid" in claim 1, line 10.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,146

Art Unit: 2835

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pautsch et al. (US Pat. 6,366,461).

Regarding claims 1-7, and 16-18 Pautsch et al disclose in figures 1 and 2, a computer system comprising: a frame 10; a plurality of chassis inserted into the frame; a plurality of electronic components 14, 15, 16, each on a respective chassis; a plurality of heat-absorbing components 38 mounted for movement with a respective chassis, each located against a respective electronic component 38 and having a component internal volume 22 component where a chassis-level fluid is heated; a plurality of thermal components 38 a conduit through which the chassis level fluid flows, whererafter heat transfer from the thermal fluid to a respective chassis level component and a fluid-channeling structure 21, 22 on the frame 10, the fluid-channeling structure 21, 22 having a fluid inlet and a fluid outlet, heat transferring from each of the thermal components 38 to a frame level fluid after the frame level fluid enters through the fluid inlet and before the fluid exits out of the frame level fluid outlet. Each thermal component 38 includes a main structure and a plurality of fins extending from the main

Art Unit: 2835

structure, over which the frame level fluid flows (figure 2). The fluid-channeling structure is an air duct (column 5, lines 62-67). The frame level fluid flows sequentially over successive ones of the thermal components 38 wherein the fins of each respective thermal component are aligned with a direction of flow of the fluid over the respective thermal component (figure 2). Each thermal component has a thermal component internal volume, the frame level fluid flowing through the thermal component internal volumes (column 3, line 10) wherein the frame level fluid flows in parallel through the thermal component internal volumes (figure 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pautsch et al. (US Pat. 6,366,461) in view of Bilski et al. (US Pat. 6,351,381).

Regarding claim 8, Pautsch et al disclose all the subject matter of the claimed inventions except for the frame is a support rack frame and the chassis are located above one another in the support rack frame. However, Bilski et al teach a support rack frame and the chassis are located above one another in the support rack frame (see figure 2). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify a support

Art Unit: 2835

rack frame and the chassis are located above one another in the support rack frame of Bilski et al into Pautsch et al's computer system as applicant's invention in order to be insert more components into the computer system as designed.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pautsch et al. (US Pat. 6,366,461) in view of Konstad et al. (US 2002/0149909).

Regarding claim 12, Pautsch et al disclose all the subject matter of the claimed inventions except for the electronic components are processors. However, Konstad et al teach electronic components are processors (100) (see para. 38). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify an processor of Konstad et al into Pautsch et al's computer system as applicant's invention in order to be processing the computer system accordingly.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 10-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to show a plurality of chassis components, each chassis component being on a respective chassis, being thermally coupled to both a respective electronic component and respective frame component, the respective chassis being at

Art Unit: 2835

least partially removable out of the frame, whereafter the respective chassis component is thermally disengaged from the respective frame component.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Agonafer et al. (US Pat. 6,337, 794) teach isothermal heat sink with tiered cooling channels..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Duong whose telephone number is (703) 308-4889. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg, can be reached on (703) 308-4815. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

HVD

10/15/03

Hung Duong Patent Examiner.